

ACEC-BC 2020 Special Recognition Category

1.0 RULES OF ENTRY

- Only members in good standing of ACEC-BC are eligible for entry into the Awards Competition.
- Joint submissions involving non-ACEC-BC members are acceptable ONLY if an ACEC-BC member performed more than 50% of the engineering work (for the submitted component) and if the project is submitted in their name.
- ACEC-BC Members may submit a maximum of two entries in any one category, and a maximum of one entry in each of the other categories.
- Participants shall credit other consultants and contractors in their submissions and on their Exhibit Panel.
- The projects submitted for the competition shall have been managed and largely engineered in a BC office of the member.
- Each entry shall be in one of the categories defined in the Categories of Entry. ACEC-BC reserves the final right to determine the category of entry for each project.
- Projects submitted in Category 7 shall provide verification in the Owner's Letter that the total project construction costs are, or are expected to be \$2.5 million or less.

Other notes

- All judging results and their publicity shall be held in strict secrecy until the Awards Gala.
- Participants are strongly encouraged to also submit their entries to the national Canadian Consulting Engineering Awards. Please note that the criteria and submission requirements for the Canadian Consulting Engineering Awards competition will differ from those outlined in this Guide.

2.0 SUBMISSION

Part 1: General info – [Submission Form](#)

Part 2: Permission

Owner's Letter – A one page letter from the owner of the project giving permission to enter the project into the competition and certifying that the design and construction elements were largely completed during the calendar year 2020.

- For projects entered in “Category 7 – Projects Under \$2.5 Million”, the Owner's Letter will also verify that the total cost of construction is less than, or is expected to be less than \$2.5 million.

Prime/Main Consultant's Letter – A one page letter from the prime or main consultant on the project, giving permission to enter the project into the competition and verifying the role played by the submitting firm (not required if either the owner or the submitting firm is the prime/main consultant).

Part 3 – Project overview (maximum of four 4 pages (8 x11 inch), 10 pt font,)

- i) Project objectives, solutions and achievements.
- ii) Technical excellence and innovation.
- iii) Environmental, economic, and social sustainability and aesthetic aspects.

Please note that the writing in this section should be clear, concise and clearly indicate the role of the submitter in the overall project.

3.0 JUDGING CRITERIA

This section is a guide to the kinds of things that the judges will be looking for, but is not a definitive list. There are four components to the judging process, as follows:

CONFORMANCE TO RULES AND PRESENTATION

- Attention will be given to adherence entry specifications.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES, SOLUTIONS AND ACHIEVEMENTS

- What were the client's objectives for the project?
- What solutions were applied to meeting the objectives?
- How does the project relate to the community?
- Did the consultant meet the approved budget and schedule?
- What were the outstanding engineering achievements?

- What is the relative level of complexity of the project?
- Were there site problems, scheduling difficulties, or other elements to be overcome?
- What role did project management play in successfully completing the project?

TECHNICAL EXCELLENCE AND INNOVATION

- Does the design represent a new or advanced approach?
- Was there a unique combination of materials and/or equipment?
- How well did the company use its internal resources, experience and expertise?

ENVIRONMENTAL, ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY, AND AESTHETICS

- Did the project have any beneficial effects?
- Does it promote sustainability?
- Does it illustrate the engineer's expanding role in society?